

(MR. SPEAKER.)

members to the House Committee in accordance with sub-rule (2) of rule 175 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly."

The motion was adopted.

Programme of Election

MR. SPEAKER.—I will announce the programme of election. For the purpose of election to the House Committee, the Secretary will receive nominations up to 10 A.M. on Saturday, the 22nd December 1956. If election is necessary, it will take place on Wednesday, the 26th December 1956 in the Committee Room between the hours of 3 P.M. and 5 P.M. The election will be conducted as laid down in sub-rule (2) of rule 175 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly in accordance with the principle of proportional representation by means of a single transferable vote.

SENATE OF KARNATAKA UNIVERSITY.

Motion for election

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA—Sir, I beg to move:

"That this Assembly do now proceed to the election of four members to the Senate of Karnataka University in accordance with section 15 of the Karnataka University Act, 1949."

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That this Assembly do now proceed to the election of four members to the Senate of Karnataka University in accordance with section 15 of the Karnataka University Act, 1949."

The motion was adopted.

MR. SPEAKER.—So far as the programme of election is concerned, it will be circulated to the members.

SECRETARY.—Sri Baliga to move the Motion of Address of Thanks.

Adjournment Motion

SRI MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Yesterday, I tabled an adjournment motion regarding the soaring prices of foodgrains in the Mysore State. You have given a ruling. I am not questioning that ruling. A similar adjournment motion was moved in the Parliament where it was read and disallowed. Here, the practice was that, when an adjournment motion was tabled by a member after the question hour, the member concerned used to be given an opportunity to explain why that adjournment motion should be admitted and if the Speaker was satisfied that it should be admitted, it was for him to say so. But now the procedure that we have adopted appears to be strange. It would have been better if an opportunity had been given to me to show why this adjournment motion should be admitted and notice of adjournment motion should have been made known to the members of this Assembly.

MR. SPEAKER.—So far as the procedure that I have adopted is concerned, it is not strange to me. Perhaps, it may be strange to some Hon'ble Members here. It is not strange to the members of the Bombay Legislature. Before I disallowed the adjournment motion, I had a talk with the Secretary and I came to know that this was the practice here also. Of course, I could have consulted the Hon'ble Member Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy and then disallowed it. But then I found that the adjournment motion was so patently out of order that I felt that I need not consult him. I am really sorry I could not consult him, but the position is that in such matters there are other avenues open to the member to ventilate his grievances. The Hon'ble Member can ventilate his grievances just now on the Motion of Thanks. I have also asked the Secretary to convey to Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy to table a short notice question on this subject.